Hypersensitivity reactions to antineoplastic agents: an overview

Ekaterini Syrigou^a, Nektaria Makrilia^a, Ioanna Koti^a, Muhammad W. Saif^b and Kostas N. Syrigos^{a,b}

Hypersensitivity reactions to antineoplastic agents are defined as unexpected reactions with signs and symptoms inconsistent with known toxicity of antineoplastic drugs. These reactions are uncommon and usually associated with certain antineoplastic categories, such as taxanes, platinum-containing compounds, epipodofyllotoxins, asparaginase, procarbazine and, more rarely, with doxorubicin and 6-mercaptopurine. The mechanisms that are responsible for hypersensitivity reactions are unclear and vary between agents. Symptoms of these reactions range from mild skin rashes to more severe reactions, such as arthralgia, respiratory arrest or even death in some cases. Once hypersensitivity reactions are observed, basic principles that allow their management and possible continuance and completion of the regimen should be followed. Anti-Cancer Drugs 20:1-6 © 2009 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Anti-Cancer Drugs 2009, 20:1-6

Keywords: antineoplastic drugs, asparaginase, cutaneous eruption, epipodophyllotoxins, hypersensitivity reactions, platinum-containing compounds, procarbazine, respiratory arrest, taxanes

^aOncology Unit, 3rd Department of Medicine, Athens School of Medicine, Sotiria General Hospital, Athens, Greece and ^bDepartment of Clinical Oncology, Yale School of Medicine and Cancer Center, Connecticut, USA

Correspondence to Kostas N. Syrigos, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor and Head, Oncology Unit, 3rd Department of Medicine, Athens School of Medicine, Building Z, Sotiria General Hospital, Mesogion 152, 115 27 Athens, Greece Tel: +30 210 7475 034; fax: +30 210 7781 035; e-mail: knsyrigos@usa.net; ksyrigos@med.uoa.gr

Received 16 August 2008 Revised form accepted 16 September 2008

Introduction

Hypersensitivity represents only a small proportion of adverse reactions of antineoplastic agents. Approximately 80% of adverse drug reactions are predictable and common [1]. Hypersensitivity reactions are unpredictable and not related to the pharmacologic reactions of the drug and are defined as unexpected reactions with signs and symptoms not consistent with known toxicity of the drug [2]. Hypersensitivity reactions are frequently associated with certain antineoplastic categories, such as taxanes, platinumcontaining compounds, epipodophyllotoxins, asparaginase, procarbazine and, occasionally, doxorubicin and 6-mercaptopurine [3].

Pathogenetic mechanisms that are associated with the development of these reactions are unclear. Immunopathologic drug reactions are generally classified in four categories (Table 1). These drug reactions are sometimes difficult to clinically distinguish from pseudoallergic reactions. Symptoms vary from mild cutaneous eruptions to severe reactions such as respiratory arrest, cardiogenic shock or even death. Severe hypersensitivity reactions are, however, rare with an incidence of less than 5% [3].

Once hypersensitivity reactions occur, the issue of continuance and completion of therapy is raised. In the case of mild reactions, readmission by lowering the infusion rate and using premedication therapy could be considered. If equally effective non-cross-reacting alternative drugs are available, they should be used. Otherwise, desensitization is an option.

We will try to review the hypersensitivity reactions associated with each of the main antineoplastic agents used today.

L-asparaginase

L-asparaginase is an enzyme used in the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia.

Incidence

Incidence is high. It is estimated that hypersensitivity reactions occur in 6-43% of patients after intravenous infusion. For this reason, L-asparaginase is now mainly administered by the intramuscular or subcutaneous route [2]. Intramuscular infusion is, however, also associated with hypersensitivity reactions. It has been reported that allergic reactions occurred in 25% of patients treated with weekly high-dose intramuscular Escherichia coli asparaginase [4]. Risk factors for allergic reactions are intervals between therapy courses longer than 1 month, the administration of the drug at weekly intervals, as well as a history of previous exposure to L-asparaginase even if it had not caused any reaction then.

Clinical manifestations

Allergic reactions occur in the first hours after administration and are typical of IgE-mediated hypersensitivity

DOI: 10.1097/CAD.0b013e32831961b3

0959-4973 © 2009 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

Table 1 Pathogenetic mechanisms of hypersensitivity reactions to chemotherapeutic agents

| Type I | IgE mediated | Acute anaphylaxis Urticaria Haemolytic anaemia Thrombocytopenia Interstitial nephritis | |
|----------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Type II | C-dependent cytolysis | | |
| Type III | Immune complex damage | Serum sickness Drug fever | |
| Type IV | Cellular hypersensitivity | Cutaneous eruptions and vasculitis Contact dermatitis Morbilliform eruptions | |

reactions. Symptoms include skin rash, respiratory distress and acute anaphylaxis [5].

Pathogenesis

Although the clinical features are typical, IgE mechanism has not been established. Researchers have found a statistically important higher rise of IgG-specific L-asparaginase antibodies in children who had experienced hypersensitivity reactions compared with those with no such reactions [6,7].

L-asparaginase is produced from E. coli. Granulocytecolony stimulating factor is also derived from E. coli. Cross-reaction between them has been reported [8]. Alternatively, L-asparaginase could be produced from Erwinia and could be used in case of hypersensitivity reaction to the E. coli [4].

Management

An intradermal skin test should be performed before the initial administration of L-asparaginase as well as when it is given after an interval of a week or more between doses. The skin test site should be observed for at least 1 h for the appearance of a wheal or erythema. A negative skin test reaction does not preclude the possibility of development of an allergic reaction [8].

Patients who had experienced allergic reactions could be rechallenged with Erwinia-produced asparaginase. Another option is pegaspargase. Pegaspargase is a polyethylene glycol conjugated form of L-asparaginase. The majority of patients with hypersensitivity to L-asparaginase tolerate pegaspargase without further clinical hypersensitivity [9].

Desensitization protocols consist of progressively increasing doses of asparaginase while adequate precautions are taken to treat an acute allergic reaction. One reported schedule begins with a total of 1 IU given intravenously and doubles the dose every 10 min [8].

Epipodophyllotoxins

Epipodophyllotoxins include teniposide (VM26) and etoposide (VP16). Etoposide is used for several malig-

nancies such as testicular, bladder, prostate, lung, stomach, uterine and brain cancer. Teniposide is used for acute lymphocytic leukaemia (particularly in children) and for neurological malignancies.

Incidence

Teniposide is related to higher incidence of hypersensitivity reactions comparing with etoposide [2]. According to a study, the incidence of hypersensitivity reactions to teniposide and etoposide in 108 children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia was (46%) as 50 out of the 108 patients had one or more hypersensitivity reactions. The risk of any child having a reaction was 52% for teniposide, compared with 34% for etoposide [10].

Clinical manifestations

In most cases, symptoms occur during the first minutes of the first or second course but no IgE-mediated mechanism or histamine release have been established. Signs and symptoms include flushing, facial oedema, urticaria, respiratory problems, blood pressure fluctuations, tachycardia and abdominal pain. No fatal outcome has been reported [11]. In addition, both of these drugs induce hypotension in 1–2% of patients after rapid intravenous infusion. This infusion reaction could be prevented if drugs are infused slowly over a period of 30-60 min with close medical observation [2]. Each millilitre of teniposide solution contains 10 mg of drug and 500 mg of cremaphor EL, a polyoxyethylated castor oil solvent. This solvent is also added to paclitaxel and cyclosporin intravenous solutions and it has been reported to be related with hypersensitivity reactions [2].

Management

Once hypersensitivity reactions occur, infusion should be stopped and a rapid assessment and maintenance of airway, breathing and circulation must be performed. Premedication with steroids and antihistamines could be attempted. In studies rechallenging with slower infusion rate was successful in 78% of cases [12]. There is limited evidence to establish cross reactivity between teniposide and etoposide.

Taxanes

Paclitaxel is used for the treatment of breast, ovarian, lung, bladder, prostate, melanoma, oesophageal, as well as other types of solid tumour cancers. Docetaxel has been approved for the treatment of breast, non-small cell lung, advanced stomach, head and neck and metastatic prostate cancer.

Incidence

The incidence of hypersensitivity reactions associated with taxane regimen is 2-5% without premedication therapy and is reduced to 1-2% when premedication therapy (steroids and antihistamines) is administered. Severe anaphylactic reactions may occur in 2-4% of patients treated with paclitaxel and 2% of patients treated with docetaxel [13]. Four risk factors have been identified, including history of mild dermal reactions in previous courses, respiratory dysfunction, obesity and the female patient being postmenopausal at the time of ovariectomy [14].

Clinical features

Hypersensitivity reactions to taxanes occur mainly during the first or second infusion [2]. Their severity ranges from mild to severe and could even be life threatening. A case of a patient who experienced fatal hypersensitivity reaction after paclitaxel administration despite premedication therapy was reported [14]. Symptoms include skin rash, hypotension, dyspnoea and abdominal pain [2].

Pathogenesis

Although there are reports that suggest that previous allergy history increases the incidence of hypersensitivity reactions to taxanes, no IgE-mediated mechanism has been established. The allergic nature of hypersensitivitytype reactions to taxanes has been questioned. Plasma histamine and serum tryptase were measured in patients with hypersensitivity reactions after docetaxel therapy compared with a control group and they concluded that hypersensitivity reactions to docetaxel do not seem to be histamine or tryptase mediated. Component C is considered to play a vital role [14].

Both paclitaxel and docetaxel are highly hydrophobic compounds and require synthetic solvents for parenteral administration. These solvents could induce severe or even life-threatening side effects. Nanoparticle albuminbound paclitaxel (nabP, arbaxane) is a novel, solvent-free formulation of paclitaxel and it has been suggested that nabP can be administered safely even without steroid and antihistamine premedication. In 2004, the US Food and Drug Administration approved the use of nabP for treatment of metastatic breast cancer after failure of an anthracycline-based regimen [15].

Management

Premedication therapy has been established to prevent severe hypersensitivity reactions to taxanes (Table 2). A common pretreatment therapy is 40 mg of dexamethasone or equivalent orally 12 and 6h before paclitaxel administration plus diphenhydramine and histamine-2receptor antagonist 30 min before the infusion [2]. Premedication therapy does not eliminate reactions but minimises the incidence and severity of symptoms. Intravenous prophylaxis regimen (diphenhydramine 50 mg, famotidine 20 mg, dexamethasone 20 mg) given 30 min before paclitaxel (without any earlier oral steroid doses), however, has been suggested as an alternative and more convenient regimen. The incidence of hypersensi-

Table 2 Recommended premedication therapy for taxanes

20 mg dexamethasone orally, 20 mg dexamethasone 30 min the night before and the morning before administration of administration 50 mg diphenydramine 30 min before administration 20 mg famotidine intravenously 20 mg famotidine intravenously

50 mg diphenydramine 30 min before administration

30 min before administration

tivity reactions is comparable with that reported for the

A study reports 90% cross-sensitivity between docetaxel and paclitaxel [16]. Consequently, caution is required when rechallenging patients with docetaxel, if they have previously reacted to paclitaxel. Desensitization is another option when taxane therapy is effective.

Platine compounds

30 min before administration

classic protocol.

Carboplatin, cisplatin and oxaliplatin are antineoplastic drugs are classified as 'alkylating agents' used in the treatment of a variety of cancers such as testicular, ovarian, lung, breast, cervical, stomach and prostate cancer, colon or rectal cancer.

Incidence

Hypersensitivity reactions have been reported in 10–27% of patients treated with cisplatin or carboplatin [2]. Although hypersensitivity reactions may occur during first infusion, their incidence increases with multiple courses and are generally noticed after six to eight courses [3].

There seems to be a relationship between history of systemic allergic reactions and risk of subsequent carboplatin hypersensitivity. A retrospective analysis concluded that patients with a history of systemic hypersensitivity to medication or environmental exposure may be at a higher risk of allergic reactions to carboplatin [17]. The incidence of hypersensitivity reactions after oxaliplatin administration is comparable to that of the earlier generation platinum agents following the increasing use of oxaliplatin in clinical practice [18].

Clinical features

Hypersensitivity reactions to platine salts occur typically during the first few minutes of infusion. Clinical manifestations vary from mild, such as itching or erythema (mainly of the palms and soles) to more severe reactions, such as tachycardia, wheezing, facial swelling, throat and chest tightness, hypertension or hypotension or even respiratory arrest. Delayed hypersensitivity reactions have also been reported, such as three cases of severe immunologic thrombocytopenia (platelets < 2000/mm³) [17].

Pathogenesis

The precise pathogenetic mechanism is currently unknown. The characteristic clinical manifestations suggest a type I IgE-mediated reaction. These reactions must be distinguished from the idiosyncratic infusional reactions that are associated with a massive release of cytokines [18].

Skin tests

A review in the literature implies that skin tests may be useful for recognizing patients at high risk of developing hypersensitivity at platine salts. A negative carboplatin skin test seems to predict the absence of a severe hypersensitivity reaction with reasonable reliability, whereas the implications of a positive test remain less certain. In a monocentric prospective study patch tests, prick tests and intradermal tests with cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin were performed. Out of 21 patients that were enrolled, 14 had positive skin tests. Prick tests were positive in five cases, in which hypersensitivity reaction occurred within less than 2 h. Intradermal tests were positive in 12 of 19 patients with the suspected platine salts. All patients with early symptoms ($< 2 \, h$) had at least one positive skin test (prick tests and/or IDT). In contrast, all patients who had experienced delayed symptoms had negative skin tests [19].

Management

When hypersensitivity reactions to platine salts do occur, symptoms generally respond to discontinuation of treatment and administration of fluids, steroids and antihistamines, oxygen and possibly epinephrine (Table 3). Withdrawal of platine therapy is strongly suggested after sudden and severe thrombocytopenia. Patients with mild allergic reactions may be rechallenged to therapy by lowering the rhythm of infusion and by administering premedication with steroids and H1 and H2 inhibitors. According to the literature, however, premedication with steroids and antihistamines is not as effective in preventing hypersensitivity reactions to platinum-containing agents as it is for taxanes [2].

Several successful cases of cisplatin rechallenge after carboplatin hypersensitivity have been reported, but this is suggested only after careful consideration of potential risks and benefits and always under close monitoring of the patient. Desensitization protocols have been suggested for the readministration of platine salt therapy

Table 3 General measures for the management of anaphylactic reactions during an antineoplastic regimen

- 1. Discontinuation of infusion
- 2. Recumbent position of patient
- 3. High-flow oxygen administration
- 4. Fluid resuscitation
- 5. Epinephrine administration
- 6. H₁ and H₂ antihistamines
- 7. Corticosteroids
- 8. Vasopressors
- 9. Glucagon for persistent hypotension in patients taking β -blockers

Table 4 Reported desensitization protocols for oxaliplatin

| Reference | Premedication | Initial dose | No. of doses | Total time | Cycles completed |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|
| [21] | No | 1/10 000 | 12 | 3.5 h | 13 |
| [22] | Yes | 1/10 000 | Not reported | 8 h | 5 |
| [23] | Yes | 1/10 000 | 5 | 6 h | 6 |
| [24] | No | | Continual | 24 h | 2 |

after hypersensitivity reactions [20]. Results are promising [21–24] (Table 4).

Anthracyclines

Anthracyclines (doxorubicin, daunorubicin, idarubicin and epirubicin) are used for the treatment of various cancers such as bladder, breast, prostate, sarcomas, lung cancer as well as leukaemia, lymphomas, multiple myeloma and neuroblastoma. Anthracycline therapy is occasionally accompanied by hypersensitivity reactions [2]. Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin has been reported to cause immediate hypersensitivity reactions in 9% of patients. It is, however, reported to cause less alopecia, cardiotoxicity, nausea and vomiting compared with free doxorubicin [25]. These reactions occur mainly in the first minutes of administration and symptoms include skin flares, urticaria, and rarely angioneurotic oedema, dyspnoea or anaphylaxis. Component C activation is believed to be the pathogenetic mechanism [26].

Of the first 35 patients in a phase II study of pegylated-liposomal doxorubicin, three developed dyspnoea, two developed low back pains and two patients developed pain at the site of tumour, within 1–5 min after starting the infusion. The symptoms resolved within 5–15 min after stopping the infusion [27]. No evidence is available that premeditation therapy with steroids and antihistamines could prevent these reactions.

Procarbazine and dacarbazine

Procarbazine is an alkylating agent that is used in the treatment of brain tumours, advanced Hodgkin's disease and bronchogenic carcinoma.

Hypersensitivity reactions are common in patients treated with this procarbazine. Brain tumours are related with higher incidence of hypersensitivity reactions compared with other malignancies [2]. It has also been reported that anticonvulsant therapy increases the risk of allergic reactions. Clinical features of hypersensitivity reactions are maculopapular rash, fever, reversible abnormal liver function test results and pulmonary toxic effects [28]. No case of successful rechallenge of the drug in patients with severe hypersensitivity reactions has been reported in the literature.

Dacarbazine is used for treatment of metastatic malignant melanoma, Hodgkin's disease, soft tissue sarcomas,

neuroblastoma, and rhabdomyosarcoma and medullary carcinoma of the thyroid. Hypersensitivity associated with this intravenous agent is rare and includes erythemateus and urticarial rashes, fever, chills, malaise and myalgias [2].

Chlorambucil and melphalan

Chlorambucil and melphalan are alkylating agents used in the treatment of bladder cancer. Allergic reactions to chlorambucil are rare. Acute onset of high fever and progressive immune haemolytic anaemia have been reported after chlorambucil administration [29]. Two cases with identical symptoms of lymphadenopathy have been reported. When patients were rechallenged with chlorambucil, identical reactions occurred. No crossreactivity between chlorambucil and other alkylating agents have been described in literature. Hypersensitivity reactions to melphalan occur more often when it is given intravenously. Symptoms include urticaria, angioedema and toxic epidermal necrolysis [30].

Cyclophosphamide and ifosfamide

Cyclophosphamide is used in the treatment of various malignancies such as lymphoma and leukaemia, gynaecological, brain and lung cancer. It is also widely used in the treatment of vasculitis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Ifosfamide is also used in treatment of head and neck cancer

Cyclophosphamide can cause hypersensitivity reactions when given intravasculary as well as orally, although reactions are rare in oral administration. Clinical manifestations vary from skin rash to respiratory distress and vasculitis. Reactions occur typically several hours after administration (delayed type of hypersensitivity) and there is evidence that IgE immediate type of mechanism is involved. Skin tests with cyclophosphamide and its metabolites have been developed to recognize the potential risk of hypersensitivity reactions. In a skin test protocol patients with late-onset allergic reactions had positive immediate skin test results to cyclophosphamide metabolites but not to cyclphosphamide itself [31]. This report is an indication of the role of cyclophosphamide metabolites in pathogenesis of allergic reactions. Hypersensitivity reactions to ifosfamide occur in less than 1% of patients [2]. No enough evidence exists in the literature.

Cytarabine and fludarabine

Cytarabine and fludarabine are antimetabolites that are used to treat different forms of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Hypersensitivity reactions associated with these antimetabolites are rare but they can be severe or even result in anaphylactic shock. Although there is not enough evidence in the literature, an IgEmediated mechanism has been suggested. When continuance of therapy is essential, desensitization is a potential option.

Bleomycin

Bleomycin is used for the treatment of squamous cell cancer, melanoma, sarcoma and lymphoma. Bleomycin causes hypersensitivity reactions in 1% of patients with lymphoma and less than 0.5% of those with solid tumours. The symptoms are fever, hypotension and, in few cases, cardiovascular collapse. The pathogenetic mechanism is unknown. Discontinuance of infusion and administration of fluids, steroids, antihistamines and antipyretics should be immediate once symptoms occur [32].

Plant alkaloids

Vinorelbine is a plant alkaloid used in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer and breast cancer. No reports are available in the literature about hypersensitivity reactions after vinorelbine administration. Allergic reactions to vinblastine are rare. Vincristine is used for the treatment of bladder cancer, Wilm's tumour and Kaposi's sarcoma. It was reported that a patient with acute leukaemia developed anaphylactoid reaction and pulmonary haemorrhage but normal serum tryptase levels suggested that it was secondary to tumour lysis, rather than to a mast cell-mediated anaphylactic reaction to vincristine [33].

Mercaptopurine

Mercaptopurine is used in leukaemia and inflammatory bowel disease. Allergic reactions are rare. In a single institute study, 2.7% of patients suffered hypersensitivity reactions, with fever being the most common. Nine of these patients were rechallenged with 6-MP with recurrence of the same symptoms. It has been suggested that thioguanine (6-TG), a closely related thiopurine, could be an alternative solution in patients that have experienced hypersensitivity reactions from mercaptopurine or azathioprine [34].

Fluorouracil

5-Fluorouracil is an antimetabolite used in the treatment of colorectal, breast and other types of cancer. There is not enough evidence concerning hypersensitivity reactions after therapy with 5-fluorouracil [2].

Monoclonal antibodies

Monoclonal antibodies (cetuximab, rituximab, trastuzumab, gemtuzumab ozogamicin, alemtuzumab, ibritumomab tiuxetan) are new antineoplastic agents that target specific malignant cell receptors. In addition, antibodies can carry substances to the targeted cells, such as radioactive isotopes, toxins and antineoplastic agents.

Incidence

High incidence of hypersensitivity reactions has been observed. The incidence is 40% for trastuzumab, 77% for rituximab, 19% for cetuximab and 5% for the fully human panitumumab [2,3].

Clinical manifestations

Symptoms occur mainly during the first or the second administration. Symptoms vary from mild and moderate, such as rash, to severe, such as bronhospasm, chest pain and anaphylaxis.

Pathogenesis

Pathogenesis is not clear. Although symptoms resemble type I reactions, their main occurrence during first infusion demonstrates that no sensitization is necessary. It has been suggested that acute reaction after monoclonal antibodies administration is induced by cytokine release.

Management

When hypersensitivity reactions occur, infusion should be stopped. Readministration at a lower rate is an option after mild-to-moderate reactions. Hypersensitivity reactions could also been prevented with premedication therapy. Continuance of the regimen with an equally effective different agent is also possible [3].

Acknowledgement

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

- 1 Gruchalla RS. Drug allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003; 111:548-559.
- 2 Shepherd GM. Hypersensitivity reactions to chemotherapeutic drugs. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2003; 24:253–262.
- 3 Josef Lenz HZ. Management and preparedness for infusion and hypersensitivity reactions. Oncologist 2007; 12:601–609.
- 4 Billett AL, Carls A, Gelber RD, Sallan SE. Allergic reactions to Erwinia asparaginase in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia who had previous allergic reactions to *Escherichia coli* asparaginase. *Cancer* 1992; 70:201–206.
- 5 Gonzalez D, Saez R, Moreno E. Hypersensitivity reactions to chemotherapy drugs. Alergol Immunol Clin 2000; 15:161–181.
- 6 Woo MH, Hak LJ, Storm MC, Evans WE, Sandlund JT, Rivera GK, et al. Anti-asparaginase antibodies following E. coli asparaginase therapy in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 1998; 12:1527–1533.
- 7 Clarkson B, Krakoff I, Burchenal J. Chemical results of treatment with E coli ι-asparaginase in adults with leukemia, lymphoma and solid tumors. Cancer 1970; 25:279–305.
- 8 Stone HD Jr, DiPiro C, Davis PC, Meyer CF, Wray BB. Hypersensitivity reactions to Escherichia coli-derived polyethylene glycolated-asparaginase associated with subsequent immediate skin test reactivity to E. coli-derived granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1998; 101:429-431.
- 9 Holle LM. Paspargase: an alternative. Ann Pharmacother 1997; 31:616–624.
- 10 Kellie SJ, Crist WM, Pui CH, Crone ME, Fairclough DL, Rodman JH, et al. Hypersensitivity reactions to epipodophyllotoxines in children with acute lymhoblastic leukemia. Cancer 1991; 67:1070–1075.
- Hudson MM, Weinstein HJ, Donaldson SS, Greenwald C, Kun L, Tarbell NJ, et al. Acute hypersensitivity reactions to etoposide in a VEPA regimen for Hodgkin's disease. J Clin Oncol 1993; 11:1080–1084.

- 12 Bernstein B, Troner M. Successful rechallenge with etoposide phosphate after an acute hypersensitivity reaction to etoposide. *Pharmacotherapy* 1999: 19:989–991.
- 13 Kloover JS, Den Bakker MA, Gelderblom H, Van Meerbeeck JP. Fatal outcome of a hypersensitivity reaction to paclitaxel: a critical review of premedication regimens. Br J Cancer 2004; 90:304–305.
- 14 Moisidis C, Möbus V. Erythema multiforme major following docetaxel. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2005; 271:267–269.
- 15 Pinder M, Ibrahim N. Nanoparticle albumine-bound paclitaxel for treatment of metastatic breast cancer. *Drugs Today (Barc)* 2006; 42:599–604.
- 16 Dizon DS, Schwartz J, Rojan A, Miller J, Pires L, Disilvestro P, et al. Cross-sensitivity between paclitaxel and docetaxel in a women's cancers program. Gynecol Oncol 2006; 100:149–151.
- 17 Markman M, Zanotti K, Kulp B, Peterson G, Markman M. Relationship between a history of systemic allergic reaction and risk of subsequent carboplatin hypersensitivity. *Gynecol Oncol* 2003; 89:514–516.
- Hewitt MR, Sun W. Oxaliplatin-associated hypersensitivity reactions: clinical presentation and management. Clin Colorectal Cancer 2006; 6:114–117.
- 19 Leguy-Seguin V, Jolimoy G, Coudert B, Pernot C, Dalac S, Vabres P, et al. Diagnostic and predictive value of skin testing in platinum salt hypersensitivity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007; 119:726–730.
- 20 Callahan MB, Lachance JA, Stone RL, Kelsey J, Rice LW, Jazaeri AA. Use of cisplatin without desensitization after carboplatin hypersensitivity reaction in epithelial ovarian and primary peritoneal cancer. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 197:199.e1–199.e4: discussion 199.e4–199.e5.
- 21 Edmondson DA, Gruling BJ, Urmanski AM, Wong SJ, Levy MB. Oxaliplatin hypersensitivity: case report and successful repeat desensitization. Am J Ther 2007: 14:116–118.
- 22 Mis L, Fernando NH, Hurwitz HI, Morse MA. Successful desensitization to oxaliplatin. Ann Pharmacother 2005; 39:966–969.
- 23 Gammon D, Bhargava P, McCormick MJ. Hypersensitivity reactions to oxaliplatin and the application of a desensitization protocol. *Oncologist* 2004: 9:546–549.
- 24 Lim KH, Huang MJ, Lin HC, Su YW, Chang YF, Lin J, et al. Hypersensitivity reactions to oxaliplatin: a case report and the success of a continuous infusional desensitization schedule. Anticancer Drugs 2004; 15:605–607.
- 25 Alberts D, Garcia D. Safety aspects of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in patients with cancer. *Drugs* 1997; 54:30–35.
- 26 Chanan-Khan A, Szebeni J, Savay S, Liebes L, Rafique NM, Alving CR, et al. Complement activation following first exposure to pegylated doxorubicin (Doxil): possible role on hypersensitivity reactions. Ann Oncol 2003; 14:1430–1437.
- 27 Skubitz K, Skubitz A. Mechanism of transient dyspnea induced by pegylated-liposomal doxorubicin (Doxil). Anti-Cancer Drugs 1998; 9:45–50.
- 28 Coyle T, Bushunow P, Winfield J, Wright J, Graziano S. Hypersensitivity reactions to procarbazine with mechlorethamine vincristine and procarbazine chemotherapy in treatment of glioma. *Cancer* 1992; 69: 2532–2540.
- 29 Thompson-Moya L, Martin T, Heuft HG, Neubauer A, Herrmann R. Allergic reaction with immune haemolytic anemia resulting from chlorambucil. Am J Hematol 1989; 32:230–231.
- 30 Levin M, Libster D. Allergic reaction to chlorambucil in chronic lympholytic leykemia presenting with fever and lymphadenopathy. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2005; 46:1195–1197.
- 31 Popescu NA, Sheehan MG, Kouides PA, Loughner JE, Condemi JJ, Looney RJ, et al. Allergic reactions to cyclophosphamide: delayed clinical expression associated with positive immediate skin tests to drug metabolites in five patients. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996; 97:26–33.
- 32 Lam M. The need for routine Bleomycin test dosing in the 21st century. Ann Pharmacother 2005; 39:1897–1902.
- 33 Bernini JC, Timmons CF, Sandler ES. Acute basophilic leukemia in a child. Anaphylactoid reaction and coagulopathy secondary to vincristine-mediated degranulation. Cancer 1995; 75:110–114.
- 34 Dubinski M, Feldman E, Abreu M. Thioguanine: a potential alternate thiopurine for IBD patients allergic to 6-mercaptopurine or azathioprine. Am J Gastrenterol 2003; 98:1058–1063.